

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,382	12/08/2003	Jean-Charles Souriau	9905/18 4537		
	7590 05/15/2007	EXAMINER			
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395			CHIEM, DINH D		
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT-	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	2883		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/731,382	SOURIAU, JEAN-CHA	SOURIAU, JEAN-CHARLES		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Erin D. Chiem	2883			

			1				
	Erin D. Chiem	2883					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31: or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have							
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	pe filed within the time period set fo	oπn in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	haaayaa				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TF helow)	because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ow);	TE Below,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324):							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:			»:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and braffing an analysis of the state of the						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	<u>iot</u> be entered is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	ry and was not earlier presented. S	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)((1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Final Rejection (3/23/2007) was improper since new prior art was applied to the rejection that does not commensurate the amendment file on December 7, 2006. Examiner considers the limitation "at least partly optical component" to change the scope of the invention since prior to the amendment examiner considers the "component" to be only optical.

EDC

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort